

IP/BBSR/2000-33

October, 2000

**Dynamics on AdS_2 and Enlargement of $SL(2, R)$ to
 $C = 1$ ‘cut-off Virasoro Algebra’**

Balram Rai*
 Institute of Physics
 Sachivalaya Marg
 Bhubaneswar
 India - 751005

Abstract

We consider the enhancement of $SL(2, R)$ to Virasoro algebra in a system of N particles on AdS_2 . We restrict our discussion to the case of non-interacting particles, and argue that they must be treated as fermions. We find operators L_n whose commutators on the ground state, $|vac\rangle$, satisfy relations that are reminiscent of $c = 1$ Virasoro algebra, provided $N \geq n \geq -N$. Same relations hold also on the states $L_{-k}|vac\rangle$, if $(N-k) \geq n \geq -(N-k)$. The conditions $L_n^\dagger = L_{-n}$, and $L_k|vac\rangle = 0$ for $k \geq 1$, are also satisfied.

*E-mail: balram@iopb.res.in

1. Introduction

It is of interest to understand the properties of dynamics in two-dimensional Anti de-Sitter spacetime, AdS_2 , for several reasons[1][2][3]. The near horizon geometry of four-dimensional extremal black-holes is of the form $AdS_2 \times S_2$. Therefore, study of dynamics on AdS_2 may tell us about the properties of near horizon degrees of freedom. Another reason for interest in dynamics on AdS_2 is from the point of view of AdS_2/CFT_1 correspondence. The aim of this paper is to study the dynamics of N -Particles on AdS_2 with a view to identifying the symmetries realised by this system.

First we will consider the case of one particle on AdS_2 . We start by realising the $SL(2, R)$ symmetry which is to be expected due to the fact that the isometry group of AdS_2 is $SL(2, R)$. Surprisingly, the operators L_1, L_{-1}, L_0 that we are led to consider satisfy $SL(2, R)$ relations only on states that are orthogonal to the ground state. Further we will find that this single particle system also realises a semi-infinite sub-algebra of Virasoro-algebra. We will obtain operators, L_n , which satisfy the relation

$$[L_n, L_m] = (n - m) L_{n+m} \quad (1)$$

where $n, m \geq 0$ or $n, m \leq 0$. L_0 is the Hamiltonian and $L_n^\dagger = L_{-n}$.

Next, we will consider N -Particles on AdS_2 . Any interaction that is introduced between these particles must be compatible with the $SL(2, R)$ symmetry following from the AdS_2 isometry. It appears complicated to introduce such an interaction and we will restrict ourselves to non-interacting system. However, we will argue that we must treat these particles as fermions. This is seen by imagining that our system is obtained by gradually turning off the interaction that is compatible with $SL(2, R)$. We introduce the L_n operators for multiparticle system by simply taking the sum of corresponding single particle operators, except that now the L_0 differs from the N -particle Hamiltonian by a constant shift. Obviously, these N -particle L_n s also satisfy the semi-infinite Virasoro-algebra of the form eqn.(1). The condition $L_n|vac\rangle = 0$, for $n \geq 1$, is satisfied. The L_1, L_{-1}, L_0 satisfy $SL(2, R)$ relations on all states of excitation energy less than N . A remarkable fact that turns out is that, for $N \geq n, m \geq -N$,

$$\{ [L_n, L_m] - (n - m) L_{n+m} - \frac{1}{12} (n^3 - n) \delta_{n+m,0} \} |vac\rangle = 0 \quad (2)$$

Above equation with $|vac\rangle$ replaced by $L_{-i}|vac\rangle$ is also valid if $(N - i) \geq n, m \geq -(N - i)$. These relations are reminiscent of $c = 1$ Virasoro algebra, although strictly speaking the L_n s do not generate Virasoro algebra because those relations are not satisfied on all the states. We expect that in general, for a state $|\epsilon\rangle$ of excitation energy ϵ , the relation

$$\{ [L_n, L_m] - (n - m) L_{n+m} - \frac{1}{12} (n^3 - n) \delta_{n+m,0} \} |\epsilon\rangle = 0 \quad (3)$$

will be satisfied if $(N - \epsilon) \geq n, m \geq -(N - \epsilon)$, and in the large N limit the standard $c = 1$ Virasoro structure will be recovered.

2. One Particle on AdS_2

The geometry of AdS_2 spacetime, of radius of curvature R , is given by the metric

$$ds^2 = \frac{R^2}{\sin^2 \sigma} (-d\tau^2 + d\sigma^2) \quad (4)$$

where $-\pi \leq \sigma \leq 0$, and τ is periodic with period 2π . The σ and τ are globally well defined coordinates on AdS_2 . Actually, in the following we will work with the covering space of AdS_2 i.e. we consider $-\infty < \tau < \infty$, without periodicity. AdS_2 has two boundaries, given by $\sigma = -\pi$ and $\sigma = 0$. Thus the topology of this spacetime is that of a strip. The fact that AdS_2 can be described as a hypersurface: $x_0^2 - x_1^2 - x_2^2 = -R^2$ in the three-dimensional flat space with signature $(+, -, -)$, implies the $SL(2, R)$ isometry of above metric.

We will now consider the dynamics of a single particle in AdS_2 spacetime. Action $S = -m \int ds$ gives the constraint $g^{\mu\nu} p_\mu p_\nu = -m^2$, which can be solved in the static gauge to obtain the Hamiltonian,

$$H = -p_0 = \sqrt{p^2 + \frac{m^2 R^2}{\sin^2 \sigma}} \quad (5)$$

Here $p = p_1$ is conjugate to the position coordinate σ of the particle. We have used the AdS_2 metric (4).

Due to the $SL(2, R)$ isometry of AdS_2 we expect to realise $SL(2, R)$ on the phase-space, with Hamiltonian as one of the generators. One can check, using the Poisson bracket $\{\sigma, p\} = 1$, that H along with K and J defined below, satisfy the $SL(2, R)$ algebra.

$$K \equiv 2p \sin \sigma \quad , \quad J \equiv 2 \cos \sigma \sqrt{p^2 + \frac{m^2 R^2}{\sin^2 \sigma}} \quad (6)$$

$$\{H, K\} = -J \quad , \quad \{H, J\} = -K \quad , \quad \{K, J\} = -4H \quad (7)$$

Now, let us note that the group of spatial diffeomorphisms of AdS_2 is generated by the vector fields $V_m = 2 \sin m\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma}$, where m is a positive integer. These spatial diffeos can be realised on the phase space by the functions K_m

$$K_m = 2p \sin m\sigma \quad (8)$$

Above form of K_m , as well as that of V_m , is suggested by the fact that the spatial diffeos should leave the boundary points unaffected. The K_m , as expected, satisfy following relation

$$\{K_m, K_n\} = (m - n)K_{m+n} - (m + n)K_{m-n} \quad (9)$$

where $m > n$. Note that K_1 is identical to one of the $SL(2, R)$ generators in eqn.(6), $K_1 = K$.

At this point we are led to ask whether the system we are considering realises Virasoro algebra, with $H \sim L_0$ and $K_m \sim (L_m - L_{-m})$, where L_n are Virasoro generators. In the following, while discussing the case of a single particle on AdS_2 , we will be able to write down L_n operators such that $L_0 = \hat{H}$, and the $n \geq 0$ or $n \leq 0$ subset of the L_n satisfy Virasoro

relation. However, it turns out that the operators $(L_m - L_{-m})$ do not have the commutation relations (9) expected of spatial diffeo generators.

It is suggestive to define Θ_1, Θ_2 :

$$\Theta_1 = \frac{1}{2}(iK_1 - \{K_1, H\}) \quad , \quad \Theta_2 = \frac{1}{2}(iK_2 - \frac{1}{2}\{K_2, H\}) \quad (10)$$

which have the property

$$\{\Theta_1, H\} = i\Theta_1 \quad , \quad \{\Theta_2, H\} = 2i\Theta_2 \quad (11)$$

Above expressions hint that the operators corresponding to Θ_1, Θ_2 may be suitable ansatz for L_1, L_2 . Although for generic values of $(mass)^2$ of the particle this will not turn out to be correct, in case of $(mass)^2 \rightarrow 0$ the operator analogues of Θ_1, Θ_2 will indeed give us L_1, L_2 , which will be used to obtain L_n for any n .

Next, in order to set up the quantum mechanics of this system, we first find the Hilbert space where

$$H^2 = p^2 + \frac{m^2 R^2}{\sin^2 \sigma} \quad (12)$$

is represented as a hermitian, positive-definite operator. A basis of states for this Hilbert space is obtained by solving the eigenvalue problem

$$\left[-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \sigma^2} + \frac{m^2 R^2}{\sin^2 \sigma} \right] \psi = \lambda \psi \quad (13)$$

The eigenfunctions can be found to be [4]

$$\psi_n^\alpha = (-\sin \sigma)^\alpha C_n^\alpha(\cos \sigma) \quad (14)$$

where C_n^α are Gegenbauer polynomials [5]. The corresponding eigenvalues are $\lambda_n^\alpha = (n + \alpha)^2$ where $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $\alpha = (1 + \sqrt{1 + 4m^2 R^2})/2$. In order to ensure hermiticity of H^2 we must require $m^2 \geq -1/(4R^2)$ [4]. Now, we represent the Hamiltonian on above Hilbert space by giving its action on the basis states as

$$\hat{H} \psi_n^\alpha = (n + \alpha) \psi_n^\alpha \quad (15)$$

Thus the spectrum is independent of mass of the particle, except for a constant shift by $\alpha(m^2 R^2)$.

For further considerations in this section, we will first discuss the case of $(mass)^2 \rightarrow 0$, and then generalise to $(mass)^2 \neq 0$.

2.1 $(mass)^2 \rightarrow 0$ case

We will first try to realise $SL(2, R)$ on the states of single particle system. Representing p by $-i\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma}$ on the states gives us the operator corresponding to iK_1 as

$$T_1 = 2 \sin \sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} + \cos \sigma \quad (16)$$

The second term in above expression is due to the requirement $T_1^\dagger = -T_1$. We require this anti-hermiticity of T_1 because the corresponding classical observable iK_1 is pure imaginary. We have used the standard norm on the states to define the hermitian conjugate operator. Define L_1, L_{-1}, L_0 as follows

$$L_1 \equiv \frac{1}{2} (T_1 + [T_1, L_0]) \quad , \quad L_{-1} \equiv -\frac{1}{2} (T_1 - [T_1, L_0]) \quad , \quad L_0 \equiv \hat{H} \quad (17)$$

Note that $L_1^\dagger = L_{-1}$. Since $L_0 = \hat{H}$ is defined by explicitly giving its action on basis states, eqn.(15), the commutation relations of L_1, L_{-1}, L_0 are checked by considering their action on basis states. Note that since we are presently taking $(mass)^2 = 0$, $\alpha = 1$ in above expressions for the states and the eigenvalues. We find

$$\begin{aligned} L_1|m\rangle_1 &= (m + 1/2)|m - 1\rangle_1 \quad , \quad m \geq 1 \\ L_{-1}|m\rangle_1 &= (m + 3/2)|m + 1\rangle_1 \quad , \quad m \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

and $L_1|0\rangle_1 = 0$. We are using $|m\rangle_\alpha$ to denote the normalised basis state ψ_m^α in equation(14). Obviously

$$[L_1, L_0] = L_1 \quad , \quad [L_{-1}, L_0] = -L_{-1} \quad (19)$$

Furthermore, one obtains $[L_1, L_{-1}] = 2L_0$ for action on the states orthogonal to the ground state. Surprisingly, on the ground state

$$[L_1, L_{-1}]|0\rangle_1 = (9/4)|0\rangle_1 \neq 2L_0|0\rangle_1 \quad (20)$$

Next, similar to the case of iK_1 , we represent iK_2 by the operator T_2

$$T_2 = 2(\sin 2\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} + \cos 2\sigma) \quad , \quad T_2^\dagger = -T_2 \quad (21)$$

Define L_2 and L_{-2} as

$$L_2 = ([T_2, L_0] + 2T_2)/4 \quad , \quad L_{-2} = ([T_2, L_0] - 2T_2)/4 \quad , \quad L_2^\dagger = L_{-2} \quad (22)$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L_2|m\rangle_1 &= m|m - 2\rangle_1 \quad \{ m \geq 2 \} \\ L_2|m\rangle_1 &= 0 \quad \{ m < 2 \} \\ L_{-2}|m\rangle_1 &= (m + 2)|m + 2\rangle_1 \quad \{ m \geq 0 \} \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Notice that the relation $[L_1, L_{-2}] = 3L_{-1}$ is satisfied on all the states orthogonal to the ground state. Further, we define L_n for $n \geq 3$ by

$$(n - 2) L_n = [L_{n-1}, L_1] \quad (24)$$

and $L_{-n} \equiv L_n^\dagger$. Using above definitions one can obtain following expressions for the action of L_n and L_{-n} on the basis states. For $n \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} L_n |m\rangle_1 &= (m - n/2 + 1) |m - n\rangle_1 \quad \{ m \geq n \} \\ L_n |m\rangle_1 &= 0 \quad \{ m < n \} \\ L_{-n} |m\rangle_1 &= (m + n/2 + 1) |m + n\rangle_1 \quad \{ m \geq 0 \} \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Remarkably, the L_n for $n \geq 0$, satisfy semi-infinite Virasoro algebra, as can be easily checked using above equations.

$$[L_n, L_m] = (n - m) L_{n+m} \quad (26)$$

Due to $L_{-n} = L_n^\dagger$, the L_n for $n \leq 0$ also satisfy above semi-infinite Virasoro algebra.

2.2 $(mass)^2 \neq 0$ case

Let us first consider realisation of $SL(2, R)$. We may naively continue to take the expression for T_1 of the form (16), and use that to define $L_{\pm 1}, L_0$ as in (17). However, with $L_{\pm 1}, L_0$ defined in this way the $SL(2, R)$ relations are not satisfied, not only on the ground state as it happened in case of $\alpha = 1$, but generically on any state. It is possible to find an appropriate modification of T_1 so that $SL(2, R)$ is realised in a way similar to the case of $\alpha = 1$. We consider following realisation of T_1 which by eqn.(17) gives $L_{\pm 1}$. As before $L_0 = \hat{H}$.

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 |0\rangle_\alpha &= -(\alpha + 1/2) |1\rangle_\alpha \\ T_1 |m\rangle_\alpha &= (\alpha + m - 1/2) |m - 1\rangle_\alpha - (\alpha + m + 1/2) |m + 1\rangle_\alpha \quad \{ m \geq 1 \} \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

One can check that $T_1^\dagger = -T_1$ and

$$L_1 |m\rangle_\alpha = (\alpha + m - 1/2) |m - 1\rangle_\alpha, \quad \{ m \geq 1 \}, \quad L_1 |0\rangle_\alpha = 0 \quad (28)$$

$$L_{-1} |m\rangle_\alpha = (\alpha + m + 1/2) |m + 1\rangle_\alpha, \quad \{ m \geq 0 \} \quad (29)$$

Above equations give: $[L_{-1}, L_0] = -L_{-1}$, $[L_1, L_0] = L_1$. Similar to the $\alpha = 1$ case, the relation $[L_{-1}, L_1] = -2L_0$ holds on states orthogonal to ground state. On the ground state we get

$$[L_{-1}, L_1] |0\rangle_\alpha = -(\alpha + 1/2)^2 |0\rangle_\alpha \neq -2L_0 |0\rangle_\alpha \quad (30)$$

Note that for $\alpha = 1/2$, which is the case of $m^2 = -\frac{1}{4R^2}$, the relation $[L_{-1}, L_1] = -2L_0$ is satisfied on all states.

Next, we will find L_{-2} by requiring that it satisfies following conditions

$$[L_0, L_{-2}] = 2L_{-2} \quad (31)$$

$$[L_1, L_{-2}] |\psi\rangle_\alpha = 3L_{-1} |\psi\rangle_\alpha \quad (32)$$

where $|\psi\rangle_\alpha$ is any state orthogonal to the ground state $|0\rangle_\alpha$. Above relations were satisfied by L_{-2} in $\alpha = 1$ case. First condition gives

$$L_{-2}|m\rangle_\alpha = a_m |m+2\rangle_\alpha, \quad m \geq 0 \quad (33)$$

and second condition gives a recursion relation for a_m s, which determines all the a_m in terms of a_0 . Further, we define L_{-n} , for $n \geq 3$, by

$$-(n-2)L_{-n} = [L_{-(n-1)}, L_{-1}] \quad (34)$$

Thus, we have obtained an ansatz for L_{-n} in terms of an unknown parameter a_0 which will be fixed by requiring

$$[L_{-4}, L_{-1}]|0\rangle_\alpha = 3[L_{-3}, L_{-2}]|0\rangle_\alpha \quad (35)$$

Finally we obtain, for $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} L_n|m\rangle_\alpha &= (m - n/2 + \alpha)|m - n\rangle_\alpha \quad \{ m \geq n \} \\ L_n|m\rangle_\alpha &= 0 \quad \{ m < n \} \\ L_{-n}|m\rangle_\alpha &= (m + n/2 + \alpha)|m + n\rangle_\alpha \quad \{ m \geq 0 \} \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

where $L_n \equiv L_{-n}^\dagger$. Similar to the case of $\alpha = 1$, we find

$$[L_n, L_m] = (n - m)L_{n+m}, \quad \{ n, m \geq 0 \} \quad (37)$$

for general value of α .

3. N Particles on AdS_2

So far we have shown that Hilbert space of a single particle on AdS_2 realises semi-infinite Virasoro algebra. The aim of this section is to demonstrate that when we consider a multi-particle system on AdS_2 , we obtain a structure that is reminiscent of $c = 1$ Virasoro algebra.

Introducing interaction between particles on AdS_2 appears to be complicated because of the requirement of $SL(2, R)$ symmetry that follows from AdS_2 isometry. We will restrict our discussion here to the case of non-interacting particles. However, as we will now argue, considering this system to be a limit of $SL(2, R)$ compatible interacting system where the interaction strength is gradually taken to zero suggests that we must treat these identical particles as fermions.

Let us first note that the energy spectrum of a single particle on AdS_2 , eqn.(15), agrees exactly with that of the system described by following hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{p^2}{4} + \frac{g}{4q^2} + \frac{q^2}{4} \quad (38)$$

if we choose $g = (3 + 16m^2R^2)/4$. The spectrum of above hamiltonian [6] is $E_n = (n + \beta)$, where $\beta = (1 + \sqrt{g + \frac{1}{4}})/2$ and $n = 0, 1, \dots$. Furthermore $\mathcal{H}$, along with $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{J}$, defined below, form $SL(2, R)$ similar to H, K, J in eqn.(7).

$$\mathcal{K} = pq \quad , \quad \mathcal{J} = \frac{p^2}{2} + \frac{g}{2q^2} - \frac{q^2}{2} \quad (39)$$

$$\{\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}\} = -\mathcal{J} \quad , \quad \{\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{J}\} = -\mathcal{K} \quad , \quad \{\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{J}\} = -4\mathcal{H} \quad (40)$$

Since the spectrum and symmetry of above single particle system agree with that of a particle on AdS_2 , multiparticle generalisation of both systems, such that $SL(2, R)$ symmetry is satisfied, should have similar properties. Now, consider N -particles generalisation of above system (38), allowing for interaction such that $\mathcal{H}_N, \mathcal{K}_N, \mathcal{J}_N$ form $SL(2, R)$. One finds that

$$\mathcal{H}_N = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^N p_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{g}{4q_i^2} + \sum_{i < j} \frac{\lambda}{(q_i - q_j)^2} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^N q_i^2 \quad (41)$$

$$\mathcal{K}_N = \sum_{i=1}^N p_i q_i \quad , \quad \mathcal{J}_N = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N p_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{g}{2q_i^2} + \sum_{i < j} \frac{2\lambda}{(q_i - q_j)^2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N q_i^2 \quad (42)$$

It is clear from the interaction term in $\mathcal{H}_N$ that in the limit $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, the short interparticle distance behaviour of wavefunctions is $\psi_N(q_i, q_{i+1}) \sim (q_i - q_{i+1})$. This implies that in $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ limit the system is equivalent to that of non-interacting fermions. Therefore the non-interacting particles on AdS_2 should also be treated as fermions.

Coming back to our original system we have the Hamiltonian

$$H_N = \sum_{i=1}^N \sqrt{p_i^2 + \frac{m^2 R^2}{\sin^2 \sigma_i}} \quad (43)$$

For reasons to be clear in the following, it will be preferable to take L_0 in the N particles case to be shifted with respect to the Hamiltonian

$$L_0 = H_N + (\alpha - 1/2)^2 / 2 \quad (44)$$

We will define the L_n for N -particles system to be the sum of single particle L_n , which is denoted below by L_n^i for the i th particle.

$$L_n = \sum_{i=1}^N L_n^i \quad (45)$$

The N -particle basis states are

$$|n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N\rangle_\alpha = \det[M_{ij}] \quad , \quad M_{ij} = \psi_{n_i}^\alpha(\sigma_j) \quad (46)$$

and the ground state $|vac\rangle_\alpha$ is characterised by $n_i = (i - 1)$ in above formula.

Let us first note that due to eqn.(37) it is obvious that for $n, m \geq 0$, or $n, m \leq 0$,

$$[L_n, L_m] = (n - m) L_{n+m} \quad (47)$$

Furthermore, for $n \geq 1$

$$L_n |vac\rangle_\alpha = 0 \quad (48)$$

One can check that L_1, L_{-1}, L_0 satisfy the $SL(2, R)$ relations on any state of excitation energy less than N .

Consider now $[L_n, L_{-m}] |vac\rangle_\alpha$ for $n, m \geq 1$.

$$L_{-m} |vac\rangle_\alpha = \sum_{i=i_0}^{m-1} (i + N - \frac{m}{2} + \alpha) |0, 1, \dots, N + i - m - 1, N + i, N + i - m + 1, \dots\rangle_\alpha \quad (49)$$

where $i_0 = 0$ if $N \geq m \geq 1$, and $i_0 = (m - N)$ if $m > N$. Now, for $n > m$

$$L_n |0, 1, \dots, N + i - m - 1, N + i, N + i - m + 1, \dots\rangle_\alpha = 0 \quad (50)$$

Therefore, for $n > m \geq 1$, $[L_n, L_{-m}] |vac\rangle_\alpha = L_n L_{-m} |vac\rangle_\alpha = 0 = (n + m) L_{n-m} |vac\rangle_\alpha$.

$$L_m |0, 1, \dots, N + i - m - 1, N + i, N + i - m + 1, \dots\rangle_\alpha = (N + i - m/2 + \alpha) |vac\rangle_\alpha \quad (51)$$

Therefore, for $N \geq m \geq 1$

$$[L_m, L_{-m}] |vac\rangle_\alpha = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (N + i - m/2 + \alpha)^2 \right\} |vac\rangle_\alpha = 2m L_0 |vac\rangle_\alpha + \frac{1}{12} (m^3 - m) |vac\rangle_\alpha \quad (52)$$

For $n < m \leq N$

$$\begin{aligned} [L_n, L_{-m}] |vac\rangle_\alpha &= L_n L_{-m} |vac\rangle_\alpha = \\ &= \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} (i + N - \frac{m}{2} + \alpha) (N + i - \frac{n}{2} + \alpha) | \dots, N + i - m - 1, N + i - n, N + i - m + 1, \dots \rangle_\alpha \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=0}^{m-n-1} (i + N - \frac{m}{2} + \alpha) (N + i - m + \frac{n}{2} + \alpha) | \dots, N + i - m + n - 1, N + i, N + i - m + n + 1, \dots \rangle_\alpha \\ &= (n + m) \sum_{i=0}^{m-n-1} (i + N - \frac{m - n}{2} + \alpha) | \dots, N + i - m + n - 1, N + i, N + i - m + n + 1, \dots \rangle_\alpha \\ &= (n + m) L_{n-m} |vac\rangle_\alpha \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

Thus we have found that, for $N \geq n \geq 1$ and $-N \leq m \leq -1$

$$\{ [L_n, L_m] - (n - m) L_{n+m} - \frac{1}{12} (n^3 - n) \delta_{n+m,0} \} |vac\rangle_\alpha = 0 \quad (54)$$

Similarly it is straightforward to check that

$$\{ [L_n, L_m] - (n - m) L_{n+m} - \frac{1}{12} (n^3 - n) \delta_{n+m,0} \} L_{-i} |vac\rangle_\alpha = 0 \quad (55)$$

where $(N - i) \geq n \geq 1$ and $-(N - i) \leq m \leq -1$. Above relations, along with eqn.(47) are reminiscent of $c = 1$ Virasoro algebra, although in above we have a $(N - i)$ dependent cut-off on the set of L_n s for which the Virasoro-like relations hold. In general we expect that on a state with excitation energy ϵ , the L_n s, $(N - \epsilon) \geq n \geq -(N - \epsilon)$, have $c = 1$ Virasoro-like relation.

4. Conclusion

We have restricted our study to the case of non-interacting particles on AdS_2 . It is important to see whether our discussion generalises to interacting system. In this context one may study the model described by (41), as our discussion in last section suggests that this model is closely related to a multiparticle system on AdS_2 . The system described by (41) is a variant of Calogero model [7]. It is interesting to note that a supersymmetric variant of Calogero model, but without the g/q_i^2 term in (41), was suggested [2] to describe microscopic degrees of freedom of certain extremal black-holes.

A surprising aspect of our analysis of a single particle dynamics on AdS_2 is that $SL(2, R)$ is not exactly realised¹. In the model [6] of (38), which has the same spectrum, one can write the operators $L_{\pm}, L_0$ that generate $SL(2, R)$.

$$L_0 = \frac{p^2}{4} + \frac{g}{4q^2} + \frac{q^2}{4} \quad , \quad L_{\pm} = \frac{p^2}{4} + \frac{g}{4q^2} - \frac{q^2}{4} \mp \frac{i}{4}(pq + qp) \quad (56)$$

It will be interesting to find out whether the algebra of $L_{\pm}, L_0$ enlarges to a Virasoro-algebra like structure, when multiparticle system is considered, in a way similar to our discussion in this paper.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank K.S. Narain for useful discussions and for bringing ref.[2] to my attention. I would also like to thank the High Energy Theory Group of ASICTP, Trieste, for their hospitality while this work was being completed.

References

- [1] A. Strominger, *AdS₂ Quantum Gravity and String Theory*, JHEP **01** (1999) 007, hep-th/9809027.
- [2] G.W. Gibbons and P.K. Townsend, *Black Holes and Calogero Models*, Phys. Lett. **B454** (1999) 187, hep-th/9812034.
- [3] P. Claus, M. Derix, R. Kallosh, J. Kumar, P. Townsend, and A. Van Proeyen, *Black Holes and Superconformal Mechanics*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81** (1998) 4553, hep-th/9804177.
- [4] See for example, M.A. Olshanetsky and A.M. Perelomov, Phys. Rep. **94** (1983) 313.
- [5] I.S. Gradshteyn and I.M. Ryzhik, *Table of integrals, series, and products*.

¹Except for $\alpha = 1/2$, where it is exactly realised on all the states.

- [6] de Alfaro, V., Fubini, S. and Furlan, G. *Conformal Invariance in Quantum Mechanics*, Nuovo. Cim. **34A** (1976) 569.
- [7] F. Calogero, J. Math. Phys. **12**, 419(1971).